arXiv:math/0607562v1 [math.GR] 22 Jul 2006

Weyl Groups with Coxeter Presentation and Presentation by Conjugation

Georg Hofmann

February 2, 2008

Abstract

We investigate which Weyl groups have a Coxeter presentation and which of them at least have the presentation by conjugation with respect to their root system. For most concepts of root systems the Weyl group has both. In the context of extended affine root systems (EARS) there is a small subclass allowing a Coxeter presentation of the Weyl group and a larger subclass allowing the presentation by conjugation. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for both classes. Our results entail that every extended affine Weyl group (EAWeG) has the presentation by conjugation with respect to a suitable EARS.

1 Introduction

If $\mathcal{W}$ is a group acting on a set R then R is called a *root set* if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) For every $\alpha \in R$ there is an involution $r_\alpha \in \mathcal{W}$, and the elements $(r_\alpha)_{\alpha \in R}$ generate $\mathcal{W}$.

(ii) We have
$$r_\alpha r_\beta (r_\alpha)^{-1} = r_{r_\alpha(\beta)}$$
for all α and $\beta \in R$.

The elements of R are called *roots*. For any root α we call r_α the involution *associated* to α. The group $\mathcal{W}$ is referred to as the *Weyl group* of R and group elements of the form r_α are called *root involutions*.

We have introduced the new notion of root sets to encompasses different concepts of root systems. For instance R is a root set for the Weyl group involved if R

a) is a finite (not necessarily crystallographic) root system.

b) consists of the roots associated to a *set of root data* in the sense of Moody and Pianzola (see [MP95]), or if R is the root system of a Coxeter group in the sense of Deodhar (see [Deo82]) or a root system of the slightly more general kind studied in [Hof99] based on Vinberg's idea of a *discrete linear group $\mathcal{W}$ generated by reflections* (see [Vin71]).

c) consists of the real roots of a locally finite root system in the sense of [LN04].

d) consists of the anisotropic roots of an extended affine root system (EARS).

We will say that $\mathcal{W}$ has a *Coxeter presentation with respect to the root set*, if there is a subset $\mathcal{S}$ of root involutions in $\mathcal{W}$ such that $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{S})$ is a Coxeter system.

In cases a) and b) the Weyl group has a Coxeter presentation with respect to the root set. (See [MP95] Proposition 5.7.(i), [Deo82] Proposition 3.1.(i) and [Vin71] §3 Theorem 2.1 for respective results for the case b).) We will show for the case d) that the extended affine Weyl group (EAWeG) $\mathcal{W}$ has a Coxeter presentation with respect to the root set if and only if the nullity of the EARS is less or equal to 1.

We will investigate whether the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$ of a root set R has the presentation

$$\begin{aligned} \big\langle (\widehat{r}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in R^\times} \quad | \quad & \widehat{r}_\alpha = \widehat{r}_\beta \quad \text{if } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ are linearly dependent,} \\ & \widehat{r}_\alpha^2 = 1, \\ & \widehat{r}_\alpha \widehat{r}_\beta \widehat{r_\alpha}^{-1} = \widehat{r}_{r_\alpha(\beta)};\ \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in R^\times \big\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

which is called the *presentation by conjuagtion*. The answer is yes in the cases a) and b) since the existence of a Coxeter presentation with respect to the root set implies the existence of the presentation by conjugation. The answer is also yes in the case of c) (see [LN04] Theorem 5.12).

The answer is also known to be yes for various subclasses of EARSs and their EAWeGs (see [Aza99], [Aza00], [Kry00]). We show that an EAWeG has a presentation by conjugation with respect to its EARS if and only if it is *minimal* (see Definition 3.4.) As a consequence we get the following result: Every EAWeG has the presentation by conjugation with respect to a suitable EARS.

It has come to our attention that a similar result has been obtained independently in [AS06]. There it is proved for the sub-case of EARSs of type A_1 that the minimality of a certain generating set of $\mathcal{W}$ is equivalent to the existence of the presentation by conjugation.

We want to point out that the presentation in (1) is equivalent to the presentation

$$\begin{aligned} \big\langle (r_\alpha)_{\alpha\in R^\times} \quad | \quad & r_\alpha = r_\beta \quad \text{if } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ are linearly dependent,} \\ & r_\alpha r_\beta r_\alpha = r_{r_\alpha(\beta)};\ \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in R^\times \big\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The author would like to thank Erhard Neher at the University of Ottawa for asking the right questions to stimulate the research for this work.

2 The Coxeter Presentation

In this section we introduce the definition of a root set. This new notion is designed to encompass different concepts of root systems as well as the concept of a Coxeter system. The main result in this section is that an EAWeG as a Coxeter presentation with respect to its EARS if and only if the nullity is greater than 1. We postpone the definition of an EARS until Section 3.

Definition 2.1 Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a group acting on a set R. We call R a *root set* for $\mathcal{W}$ if the following conditions are satisfied

(i) For every $\alpha \in R$ there is an involution $r_\alpha \in \mathcal{W}$, and the elements $(r_\alpha)_{\alpha \in R}$ generate $\mathcal{W}$.

(ii) We have
$$r_\alpha r_\beta (r_\alpha)^{-1} = r_{r_\alpha(\beta)}$$
for all α and $\beta \in R$.

If R is a root set for $\mathcal{W}$, then we define

$$\alpha \sim \beta :\iff r_\alpha = r_\beta.$$

The elements of R are called *roots*. For any root α we call r_α the reflection *associated* to α. The group $\mathcal{W}$ is referred to as the *Weyl group* of R and group elements of the form r_α are called *root involutions*.

Remark 2.2 The relation $\sim$ is a congruence relation, i.e. an equivalence relation satisfying
$$\alpha \sim \beta \iff w.\alpha \sim w.\beta,$$
for every $w \in \mathcal{W}$.

Example 2.3 We refer the reader to the list of examples a) - d) given in the introduction. We add one example to this list:

e) If $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{S})$ is a Coxeter system, then
$$T := \{wsw^{-1} : w \in W, s \in \mathcal{S}\} \tag{2}$$
is a root set for $\mathcal{W}$ if we set $r_t = t$. The equivalence relation in this setting is equality.

Let R be a root set with Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$. Let S be a subset of R such that the group root involutions associated to the elements in S generate W and such that
$$(\forall \alpha, \beta \in S)\ \alpha \sim \beta \implies \alpha = \beta.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_S := \big\langle (\widehat{r}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in S} \quad | \quad & \widehat{r}_\alpha^{\,2} = 1 \quad \text{for } \alpha \in S, \\ & (\widehat{r}_\alpha \widehat{r}_\beta)^{\mathrm{ord}(r_\alpha r_\beta)} = 1 \quad \text{for } \alpha,\, \beta \in R \text{ and } \mathrm{ord}(r_\alpha r_\beta) < \infty \big\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $\mathrm{ord}(\cdot)$ stands for the order of a group element. Since the relations in this presentation are true for the generators $(r_\alpha)_{\alpha\in S}$ of $\mathcal{W}$, there is a group homomorphism $\varphi : \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_S \to \mathcal{W}$ that maps $\widehat{r}_\alpha$ to r_α for all $\alpha \in S$. Since φ has a generating set of $\mathcal{W}$ in its image, it is surjective.

Definition 2.4 We say that $\mathcal{W}$ has a *Coxeter presentation (with respect to R and S)* if φ is injective.

The requirement in this definition is equivalent to saying that there is a subset $\mathcal{S}$ of root involutions such that $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{S})$ is a Coxeter system.

Example 2.5 In the list of examples discussed in the Introduction and in Example 2.3 the following Weyl groups have a Coxeter presentation with respect to their root sets: a), b), e).

We will present an example of a root set R with a Weyl group which does not permit a Coxeter presentation with respect to R.

Example 2.6 Consider the real vector space $V := \mathbb{R}^5$ with the bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot)$ with matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the standard basis. In V we consider the set

$$R^\times \quad := \quad \big\{(z_1, z_2, z_3, 0, 0) | z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{Z},\ z_3 \in \{1, -1\},\ z_1 z_2 \text{ is even}\big\}.$$

(This is the set of anisotropic roots of an EARS of type A_1 with nullity 2.)

To an element $\alpha \in R^\times$ we associate the reflection

$$r_\alpha :\ V \to V,\ v \mapsto v - 2\frac{(v,\alpha)}{(\alpha,\alpha)}\alpha.$$

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ generated by the reflections $\{r_\alpha, \alpha \in R^\times\}$. Then $R^\times$ is a root set for $\mathcal{W}$.

Lemma 2.7 *The group $\mathcal{W}$ in the previous example does not have a Coxeter presentation with respect to $R^\times$.*

Proof. We will follow the proof in [Hof04] Example 4.3.36. Suppose that S is a subset of $R^\times$ such that $\mathcal{W}$ has a Coxeter presentation with respect to $R^\times$ and S. Then $\operatorname{span} S$ is three dimensional: The subspace $U := \operatorname{span} S$ has at least dimension 1, since S contains an element of $R^\times$. Now U is a $\mathcal{W}$-invariant subspace. Dimension 1 or 2 contradict the fact the orbit under $\mathcal{W}$ of any root spans a 3-dimensional subspace.

It is easily verified that the product of two reflections in $\mathcal{W}$ has infinite order. So $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_S$ is the free group generated by the involutions associated to S. We showed that S must contain 3 linearly independent roots say α_1, α_2 and α_3. Denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{W}$ generated by the set $\mathcal{S}' := \{r_{\alpha_1}, r_{\alpha_2}, r_{\alpha_3}\}$ by $\mathcal{W}'$. We are done if we show that $(\mathcal{W}', \mathcal{S}')$ is not a Coxeter system. (For the necessary result about parabolic subgroups see [Hum92] Theorem in Section 5.5). So without loss of generality, we may assume that we have

$$\alpha_1 = (0,0,1,0,0)^T,\ \alpha_2 = (1,0,1,0,0)^T,\ \text{and } \alpha_3 = (0,1,1,0,0)^T.$$

For the associated reflections $r_i := r_{\alpha_i}$ (i = 1, 2, 3) it can be verified by geometric arguments or by a matrix computation that

$$\begin{aligned} r_1r_2r_3r_1r_2r_3r_2r_1r_3r_2r_1 &= r_3 \quad \text{or, equivalently} \\ r_1r_2r_3r_1r_2r_3r_2r_1r_3r_2r_1r_3 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

We provide here the matrices corresponding to the three reflections r_1, r_2, r_3 and leave the computations to the reader:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The relation above is not satisfied in $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_S$. See for example, [Hum92] 8.1: In $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_S$ any word of length greater than zero, which contains no subsequent repetition of an element of $\{\widehat{r}_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$, does not represent the identity. So the homomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_S \to \mathcal{W}$ is not injective. ■

In [Hof04] Lemma 4.3.33 it is shown that the involutions in $\mathcal{W}$ are exactly the reflections in $\mathcal{W}$. Moreover, the reflections in $\mathcal{W}$ are exactly the root reflections, in other words there are no ghost reflections, i.e. reflections in the group that are not associated to a root. (From [Hof04] Theorem 4.4.47 and Theorem 4.4.51 it follows that these ghost reflections only occur in higher dimensions).

All of this taken together results in

Proposition 2.8 *The group $\mathcal{W}$ is not a Coxeter group, i.e. there is no root set R for $\mathcal{W}$ such that $\mathcal{W}$ has a Coxeter presentation with respect to R.*

The question arrises which EARS have a Coxeter presentation of the Weyl group with respect to the underlying root set. An EARS with nullity 0 is just a finite root system with zero added. It is known that a Coxeter presentation with respect to the root set exists for this case. The anisotropic roots of an EARS with nullity 1 can be viewed as a root system in the sense of [MP95]. Again, a Coxeter presentation with respect to the underlying root set exists. If the nullity of an EARS is greater than or equal to 2 than there are always three anisotropic roots α_1, α_2 and α_3 whose reflections generate a subgroup of the Weyl group isomorphic to $\mathcal{W}$ in the previous example. This means that the Weyl group does not allow a Coxeter presentation with respect to the underlying root set, since a subgroup of a Coxeter group generated by reflections is always a Coxeter group (See [Deo89], [Dye90] or [Hof04] Corollary 2.3.29). So we have

Theorem 2.9 *Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the Weyl group of an EARS with nullity ν. Denote the set of anisotropic roots by $R^\times$. Then $\mathcal{W}$ has a Coxeter presentation with respect to $R^\times$ if and only if $\nu < 2$.*

It is more difficult to judge whether a given Weyl group of an EARS of nullity $\nu \geq 2$ has a Coxeter presentation with respect to any root set. The arguments used for the previous example to obtain Proposition 2.8 may fail in two ways: Firstly, not all involutions of the Weyl group must be reflections: The finite root system B_2 already contains a rotation about 180°. Secondly, some reflections may be ghost reflections, i.e. they are not associated to a root in the root system.

3 The Presentation by Conjugation

In this section we introduce the notion of the presentation by conjugation of a group with respect to a root set. If a group $\mathcal{W}$ has a Coxeter presentaion with respect to a root set R then $\mathcal{W}$ has a presentaion by conjugation with respect to this root set. The key result of this section is that if a $\mathcal{W}$ has the presentation by conjugation with respect to R then R is minimal.

Let R be a root set with Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$. Set

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}} := \Big\langle (\widehat{r}_\alpha)_{\alpha\in R} \quad \Big| \quad \begin{array}{l} \widehat{r}_\alpha = \widehat{r}_\beta \quad \text{for } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \in R \text{ with } \alpha \sim \beta, \\ \widehat{r}_\alpha^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } \alpha \in R, \\ \widehat{r}_\alpha \widehat{r}_\beta \widehat{r}_\alpha^{-1} = \widehat{r}_{r_\alpha(\beta)} \quad \text{for } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \in R \Big\rangle. \end{array} \tag{4}$$

Since the relations in this presentation are true for the generators $(r_\alpha)_{\alpha\in R}$ of $\mathcal{W}$, there is a group homomorphism $\varphi : \widehat{\mathcal{W}} \to \mathcal{W}$ that maps $\widehat{r}_\alpha$ to r_α for all $\alpha \in R$. Since φ has a generating set of $\mathcal{W}$ in its image, it is surjective.

Definition 3.1 We say that $\mathcal{W}$ has the *presentation by conjugation (with respect to R)* if φ is injective.

The following result is known. Since no source for it is known to the author we will include a proof.

Proposition 3.2 *Let $(\mathcal{W}, S)$ be a Coxeter system. Consider the root set T for W described in Example 2.3. Then W has the presentation by conjugation with respect to T.*

Proof. Set $\widehat{S} = \{\widehat{r}_s : s \in S\}$. We want to show that the map $S \to \widehat{S},\ s \mapsto \widehat{r}_s$ can be extended to a map $\psi : \widehat{\mathcal{W}} \to \mathcal{W}$. For that purpose we need to show that the relations defining the Coxeter group $\mathcal{W}$ (see (3)) hold for the generators $\widehat{S}$ in $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$. The first defining relation in (3) is clear so let's look at the second:

In $\mathcal{W}$ we have:

$$(s_1 s_2)^{m(s_1,s_2)} \quad = \quad 1.$$

Let's consider the case that $m(s_1, s_2)$ is odd, say $m(s_1, s_2) = 2k+1$). Then this relation together with the fact that s_1 and s_2 are involutions entails

$$(s_1 s_2)^k s_1 (s_2^{-1} s_1^{-1})^k \quad = \quad s_2.$$

From the defining relations of $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ it follows that in $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ we have

$$(\widehat{r}_1 \widehat{r}_2)^k \widehat{r}_1 (\widehat{r}_2^{-1} \widehat{r}_1^{-1})^k \quad = \quad \widehat{r}_2$$

where we have written $\widehat{r}_1$ for $\widehat{r}_{s_1}$ and $\widehat{r}_2$ for $\widehat{r}_{s_2}$ to avaoid an notational overload. In turn, this implies

$$(\widehat{r}_1 \widehat{r}_2)^{m(\widehat{r}_1,\widehat{r}_2)} \quad = \quad 1.$$

The case $m(s_1, s_2)$ odd is similar and is left to the reader.

So we have the map $\psi : \mathcal{W} \to \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ and need to prove that $\psi \circ \varphi$ is the identity on $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$. It is clear that it is the identity on $\widehat{S}$, so we need to show that $\widehat{S}$ generates $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$. We do this by proving that the subgroup $\langle \widehat{S} \rangle$ of $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ generated by $\widehat{S}$ contains the set $\widehat{T} := \{\widehat{r}_t : t \in T\}$.

So let $\widehat{r}_t \in \widehat{T}$. this means $t = wsw^{-1}$ for some $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and $s \in S$. The group element w can be written as $w = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k$ for some $s_1,\ s_2, \ldots, s_k \in S$. So we have

$$t \quad = \quad s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k \cdot s \cdot s_k^{-1} s_{k-1}^{-1} \cdots s_1^{-1}.$$

Using arguments similar to those above we deduce

$$\widehat{r}_t \quad = \quad \widehat{r}_1 \widehat{r}_2 \cdots \widehat{r}_k \cdot \widehat{r}_s \cdot \widehat{r}_k^{-1} \widehat{r}_{k-1}^{-1} \cdots \widehat{r}_1^{-1}.$$

This shows $\widehat{r}_t \in \langle \widehat{S} \rangle$, which concludes the proof. ■

Example 3.3 (i) In the list of examples discussed in the Introduction the root sets in a) and b) have a Coxeter presentation. By the previous proposition, they have a presentation by conjugation.

(ii) The underlying root set of a locally finite root system described in the introduction in c) has the presentation by conjugation (see [LN04] Theorem 5.12).

Definition 3.4 Let R be a root set with Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$. We call the root set R *minimal* if there is no root $\gamma \in R$ such that reflections associated to the elements of the set

$$R_\gamma := R \setminus \{\alpha : (\exists w \in \mathcal{W}) w.\gamma \sim \alpha\} \tag{5}$$

generate $\mathcal{W}$.

Theorem 3.5 *If the group $\mathcal{W}$ has a presentation by conjugation with respect to R, then the root set R is minimal.*

Proof. Suppose that R is not minimal, i.e. there is a $\gamma \in R$ such that reflections associated to the elements of the set R_γ in (6) generate $\mathcal{W}$. Since r_γ is an element of the group $\mathcal{W}$ there must be a relation of the kind

$$r_\gamma = r_{\delta_1} r_{\delta_2} \cdots r_{\delta_k} \quad \text{with } \delta_1, \ldots, \delta_k \in R_\gamma. \tag{6}$$

Now let $F(R)$ be the free group generated by the elements r_α with $\alpha \in R$. Consider the normal subgroup N of $F(R)$ generated by

$$\begin{array}{rl} X := & \{\widehat{r}_\alpha (\widehat{r}_\beta)^{-1} : \alpha, \beta \in R \text{ with } \alpha \sim \beta\} \\ \cup & \{\widehat{r}_\alpha \widehat{r}_\alpha : \alpha \in R\} \\ \cup & \{\widehat{r}_\alpha \widehat{r}_\beta \widehat{r}_\alpha (\widehat{r}_{r_\alpha(\beta)})^{-1} : \alpha, \beta \in R\}. \end{array}$$

The quotient $F(R)/N$ is the group $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$.

Let's consider the map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \psi : & R & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2, \\ & \alpha & \mapsto \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha \in R_\gamma \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \end{array}$$

This map extends to a group homomorphism $\widetilde{\psi} : F(R) \to \mathbb{Z}_2$. It is easily verified that X is in $\ker \psi$ and thus $N \leq \ker \psi$. This means that the map $\widetilde{\psi}$ factors to a map $\widehat{\psi} : F(R)/N \to \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Recall the relation (6) in $\mathcal{W}$. This relation is not true in $\widehat{\mathcal{W}} = F(R)/N$ since

$$\widehat{\psi}(\widehat{r}_\gamma) = 1 \quad \text{whereas} \quad \widehat{\psi}(\widehat{r}_{\delta_1} \widehat{r}_{\delta_2} \cdots \widehat{r}_{\delta_k}) = 0.$$

This implies that the homomorphism $\varphi : \widehat{\mathcal{W}} \to \mathcal{W}$ is not injective. ■

The converse of the previous theorem is not true. In the following we present an example of a reflection group with a minimal root system which does not have a presentation by conjugation.

Example 3.6 Recall the root set $R^\times$ with Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$ from Example 2.6. Let U be the subspace of V spanned by the first three standard basis vectors.

Then U is invariant under $\mathcal{W}$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{W}$ to U. Since $R^\times \subseteq U$, the set $R^\times$ is a root set for $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$. The restriction induces a group homomorphism

$$\mathcal{W} \to \overline{\mathcal{W}}.$$

(The group $\mathcal{W}$ is actually a central extension of $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$.) This homomorphism is not injective: Set

$$\alpha_0 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \alpha_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \alpha_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \alpha_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $r_{\alpha_0} r_{\alpha_1} r_{\alpha_2} r_{\alpha_3}$ is a nontrivial element in the kernel of the homomorphism. The groups $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{W}}}$ have the same presentation. Since the homomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{W}} \to \mathcal{W}$ is surjective, the composition of homomorphisms

$$\widehat{\mathcal{W}} \to \mathcal{W} \to \overline{\mathcal{W}}$$

is not injective. This means that $\widehat{\overline{\mathcal{W}}} \to \overline{\mathcal{W}}$ is not injective, so $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$ does not have the presentation by conjugation with respect to $R^\times$.

The orbits of $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$ in $R^\times$ are given by

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}}.\alpha = \{\alpha, -\alpha\} + \big((2\mathbb{Z}) \times (2\mathbb{Z}) \times \{0\}\big).$$

From this, it is not hard to see that R is a minimal root set for $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$.

Example 3.7 For all concepts of root systems R and their Weyl groups $\mathcal{W}$ such that the underlying root set provides the presentation by conjugation for the Weyl group, the root set is minimal. This is true for the examples a) - c) in the Introduction as well as Example 2.3 e).

We will look at the case of a finite crystallographic root system more closely and derive a result about the orbits of its Weyl group which we need later on:

Lemma 3.8 *Let R be an irreducible finite crystallographic root system with Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$. Suppose $\widetilde{R}$ is a subset of R that is invariant under $\mathcal{W}$ and such that the reflections associated to the roots in $\widetilde{R}$ generate $\mathcal{W}$. Then $\widetilde{R}$ is an irreducible finite crystallographic root system and one of the following is true:*

(i) *$R = \widetilde{R}$,*

(ii) *R is of type BC_1 and $\widetilde{R}$ is of type A_1,*

(iii) *R is of type BC_2 and $\widetilde{R}$ is of type B_2,*

(iv) *R is of type BC_ℓ, $\ell \geq 3$ and $\widetilde{R}$ is of type B_ℓ or C_ℓ.*

Proof. Let R_{sh}, R_{lg} and R_{ex} be the set of all short, long and extra long roots in R. Then R is the disjoint union of these three sets. Moreover these three sets are precisely the orbits under $\mathcal{W}$ in the root system. (See [Bou68] Ch. VI. n^0 1.4.10.) So $\widetilde{R}$ must be the union of some of the three sets.

Note that with R_γ as in Definition 3.4 we have

$$R_\gamma = \begin{cases} R_{\text{lg}} & \text{if } \gamma \in R_{\text{sh}} \cup R_{\text{ex}} \\ R_{\text{sh}} \cup R_{\text{ex}} & \text{if } \gamma \in R_{\text{lg}}. \end{cases}$$

If R is simply laced, i.e. if R_{ex} is empty, then $R = \widetilde{R}$, since finite root systems are minimal root sets. Otherwise, we have

$$\widetilde{R} = R \setminus R_{\text{sh}} \quad \text{or} \quad \widetilde{R} = R \setminus R_{\text{ex}}$$

for the same reason. Then we are in one of the cases (ii) - (iv). In each of these cases $\widetilde{R}$ is an irreducible finite root system. ■

In the following we will present another result needed later on to prove that certain EAWeGs have the presentation by conjugation.

Let R be a minimal root system with Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$. Let $\widetilde{R}$ be a $\mathcal{W}$-invariant subset of R and denote by $\mathcal{W}_{\widetilde{R}}$ the subgroup of $\mathcal{W}$ generated by the reflections associated to the elements of $\widetilde{R}$. Suppose the following property is satisfied:

$$(\forall \alpha \in R \setminus \widetilde{R})\ (\forall w \in \mathcal{W})\ (\exists w' \in \mathcal{W}_{\widetilde{R}})\ w\alpha \sim w'\alpha$$

Proposition 3.9 *If the group $\mathcal{W}_{\widetilde{R}}$ has a presentation by conjugation with respect to $\widetilde{R}$, then the group $\mathcal{W}$ has a presentation by conjugation with respect to R.*

Proof. We will start with a relation

$$\widehat{r}_*\widehat{r}_* \cdots \widehat{r}_* \tag{7}$$

satisfied in the group $\mathcal{W}$ and show that the relation follows from the relations of the presentation by conjugation:

$$\widehat{r}_\alpha = \widehat{r}_\beta \quad \text{for } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \in R \text{ with } \alpha \sim \beta, \tag{8}$$

$$\widehat{r}_\alpha^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } \alpha \in R, \tag{9}$$

$$\widehat{r}_\alpha \widehat{r}_\beta \widehat{r}_\alpha^{-1} = \widehat{r}_{r_\alpha(\beta)} \quad \text{for } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \in R \tag{10}$$

First we suppose that relation (7) contains no elements $\widehat{r}_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in R \setminus \widetilde{R}$. But then we know by hypothesis that relation (7) follows from the relations in (8) to (10) with α and $\beta \in \widetilde{R}$. So in this case there is nothing to prove.

Now we suppose that relation (7) contains at least one $\widehat{r}_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in R \setminus \widetilde{R}$. Moreover, we assume it contains no $\widehat{r}_{\alpha'}$ such that $\alpha \sim w.\alpha'$ for some $w \in \mathcal{W}$. Then the relation can be written as

$$\widehat{r}_\alpha \quad = \quad \widehat{r}_*\widehat{r}_* \cdots \widehat{r}_*,$$

where all the generators on the right side are associated to roots in

$$R_\alpha = R \setminus \{\gamma : (\exists w \in \mathcal{W})\gamma \sim w.\alpha\}.$$

This means that the reflections associated to this set actually generate $\mathcal{W}$, which is a contradiction to the fact that R is minimal.

So, if we are supposing that relation (7) contains an $\widehat{r}_\alpha$ with $\alpha \in R \setminus \widetilde{R}$ then it must contain another element $\widehat{r}_{\alpha'}$ with $\alpha \sim w.\alpha'$ for some $w \in \mathcal{W}$. So it is of the form

$$\widehat{r}_* \cdots \widehat{r}_* \widehat{r}_\alpha \widehat{r}_* \cdots \widehat{r}_* \widehat{r}_{\alpha'} \widehat{r}_* \cdots \widehat{r}_* \quad = \quad 1. \tag{11}$$

By hypothesis, we may assume $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\widetilde{R}}$. So we have

$$w \quad = \quad r_{\alpha_1} r_{\alpha_2} \cdots r_{\alpha_n}$$

for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \widetilde{R}$. The conjugation relations (8) and (10) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{r}_\alpha \quad &= \quad \widehat{r}_{w.\alpha'} = \widehat{r}_{r_{\alpha_1} r_{\alpha_2} \cdots r_{\alpha_n} .\alpha'} \\ &= \quad \widehat{r}_{\alpha_1} \widehat{r}_{\alpha_2} \cdots \widehat{r}_{\alpha_n} \ \widehat{r}_{\alpha'} \ \widehat{r}_{\alpha_n}^{-1} \widehat{r}_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{-1} \cdots \widehat{r}_{\alpha_1}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\widehat{r}_{\alpha'} \quad = \quad \widehat{r}_{\alpha_n} \widehat{r}_{\alpha_{n-1}} \cdots \widehat{r}_{\alpha_1} \ \widehat{r}_\alpha \ \widehat{r}_{\alpha_1} \widehat{r}_{\alpha_2} \cdots \widehat{r}_{\alpha_n}$$

using relation (9). So our relation (11) can be written as

$$\widehat{r}_* \cdots \widehat{r}_* \widehat{r}_\alpha \widehat{r}_* \cdots \widehat{r}_* \widehat{r}_\alpha \widehat{r}_* \cdots \widehat{r}_* \quad = \quad 1. \tag{12}$$

Note that number of generators in this relation may have increased but the number of generators elements $\widehat{r}_\beta$ with $\beta \in R \setminus \widetilde{R}$ has not changed.

For any $\beta \in R$ the conjugation relation $\widehat{r}_\alpha \widehat{r}_\beta \widehat{r}_\alpha^{-1} = \widehat{r}_{r_\alpha(\beta)}$ can be rewritten as

$$\widehat{r}_\beta \widehat{r}_\alpha \quad = \quad \widehat{r}_\alpha \widehat{r}_{r_\alpha(\beta)}$$

using relation (9). This means that the relation (12) can be rewritten as

$$\widehat{r}_* \cdots \widehat{r}_* \widehat{r}_\alpha \widehat{r}_\alpha \widehat{r}_* \cdots \widehat{r}_* \quad = \quad 1.$$

The two generators $\widehat{r}_\alpha$ in this relation cancel out reducing it to a relation which has fewer generators $\widehat{r}_\beta$ with $\beta \in R \setminus \widetilde{R}$. This process can be repeated until the number of generators $\widehat{r}_\beta$ with $\beta \in R \setminus \widetilde{R}$ is zero. Then we are done since we are in a case that has been discussed earlier. ■

4 Extended affine root systems

At the beginning of this section we present the definition of extended affine root systems and some basic facts about them taken from [AAB$^+$97]. The main results of this work are derived after that: Given an EARS R, the corresponding Weyl group W has the presentation by conjugation with respect to R if and only if R is minimal. Moreover, every EARS R contains a minimal one. Taken together, this means that every EAWeG has a presentation by conjugation with respect to some EARS.

Definition 4.1 Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a finite dimensional real vector space with a positive semi-definite symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot)$ and let R be a subset of $\mathcal{V}$. Set

$$\mathcal{V}^0 := \{v \in \mathcal{V} : (v,v) = 0\}, \quad R^0 := R \cap \mathcal{V}^0, \quad \text{and} \quad R^\times := R \setminus R^0$$

The subset R is called an *extended affine root system* in $\mathcal{V}$ if it satisfies the following axioms:

(R1) $0 \in R$.

(R2) $-R = R$.

(R3) R spans $\mathcal{V}$.

(R4) $\alpha \in R^\times \implies 2\alpha \notin R$.

(R5) R is discrete in $\mathcal{V}$.

(R6) If $\alpha \in R^\times$ and $\beta \in R$, then there exist $d, u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying

$$\{\beta + n\alpha : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap R = \{\beta - d\alpha, \ldots, \beta + u\alpha\} \quad \text{and} \quad d - u = 2\frac{(\alpha,\beta)}{(\alpha,\alpha)}.$$

(R7) $R^\times$ cannot be decomposed as a disjoint union $R_1 \dot{\cup} R_2$, where R_1 and R_2 are nonempty subsets of $R^\times$ satisfying $(R_1, R_2) = \{0\}$.

(R8) If $\sigma \in R^0$, then there exists $\alpha \in R^\times$ such that $\alpha + \sigma \in R$.

Elements of $R^\times$ are called *anisotropic roots* and elements of R^0 are called *isotropic roots*. The *nullity* of R is the dimension of $\mathcal{V}^0$.

By $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}^0$ we denote the quotient map. Then $\overline{R}^\times$ is a finite crystallographic root system. It contains a fundamental system $\overline{\Pi} = \{\overline{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_l\}$ with $l = \dim(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}^0)$. For each $\overline{\alpha}_i$ we pick a preimage $\dot{\alpha}_i$ in $R^\times$. Then we set

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}} := \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\dot{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \dot{\alpha}_l\}. \tag{13}$$

Definition 4.2 A nonempty subset S of a real vector space V is called a *translated semilattice* if it spans V, is discrete and satisfies

$$S + 2S \subseteq S.$$

A translated semilattice is called a *semilattice* if it contains zero.

Because of its significance for the structure of extended affine root systems we repeat their classification obtained in [AAB$^+$97].

Construction 4.3 Suppose that $\dot{R}$ is an irreducible finite root system of type X in a finite dimensional real vector space $\dot{\mathcal{V}}$ with positive definite symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot)$. We decompose the set $\dot{R}^\times$ of nonzero elements of $\dot{R}$ according to length as

$$\dot{R}^\times = \dot{R}_{\mathrm{sh}} \dot\cup \dot{R}_{\mathrm{lg}} \dot\cup \dot{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}. \tag{14}$$

Let $\mathcal{V}^0$ be a finite dimensional real vector space, set $\mathcal{V} := \dot{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \mathcal{V}^0$, and extend $(\cdot,\cdot)$ to $\mathcal{V}$ in such a way that $(\mathcal{V},\mathcal{V}^0) = \{0\}$.

(a) (*The simply laced construction*) Suppose that X is simply laced, i.e.

$$X = A_\ell(\ell \geq 1),\ D_\ell(\ell \geq 4),\ E_6,\ E_7,\ \text{or } E_8.$$

Suppose that S is a semilattice in $\mathcal{V}^0$. If $X \neq A_1$ suppose further that S is a lattice in $\mathcal{V}^0$. Put

$$R = R(X,S) := (S+S) \cup \Big(\bigcup_{\dot\alpha \in \dot{R}^\times} (\dot\alpha + S) \Big).$$

(b) (*The reduced non-simply laced construction*) Suppose that X is reduced and non-simply laced, i.e.

$$X = B_\ell(\ell \geq 2),\ C_\ell(\ell \geq 3),\ F_4,\ \text{or } G_2.$$

Suppose that S and L are semilattices in $\mathcal{V}^0$ such that

$$L + kS \subseteq L \quad \text{and} \quad S + L \subseteq S,$$

where k is defined by

$$k := \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } R \text{ has type } B_\ell(\ell \geq 2),\ C_\ell(\ell \geq 3), \\ & \qquad F_4,\ \text{or } BC_\ell(\ell \geq 2), \\ 3 & \text{if } R \text{ has type } G_2. \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

Further, if $X = B_\ell(\ell \geq 3)$ suppose that L is a lattice, if $X = C_\ell(\ell \geq 3)$ suppose that S is a lattice, and if $X = F_4$ or G_2 suppose that both S and L are lattices. Put

$$R = R(X,S,L) := (S+S) \cup \Big(\bigcup_{\dot\alpha \in \dot{R}_{\mathrm{sh}}} (\dot\alpha + S) \Big) \cup \Big(\bigcup_{\dot\alpha \in \dot{R}_{\mathrm{lg}}} (\dot\alpha + L) \Big).$$

(c) (*The BC_ℓ construction, $\ell \geq 2$*) Suppose that $X = BC_\ell(\ell \geq 2)$. Suppose that S and L are semilattices in $\mathcal{V}^0$ and E is a translated semilattice in $\mathcal{V}^0$ such that $E \cap 2S = \varnothing$ and

$$L + 2S \subseteq L, \quad S + L \subseteq S,$$
$$E + 2L \subseteq E, \quad L + E \subseteq L.$$

If $\ell \geq 3$, suppose further that L is a lattice. Put

$$\begin{aligned} R = R(BC_\ell, S, L) \quad &:= \quad (S+S) \cup \Big(\bigcup_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}_{\mathrm{sh}}} (\dot{\alpha} + S) \Big) \\ &\cup \Big(\bigcup_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}_{\mathrm{lg}}} (\dot{\alpha} + L) \Big) \cup \Big(\bigcup_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}} (\dot{\alpha} + E) \Big). \end{aligned}$$

(d) (*The BC_1 construction, $\ell \geq 2$*) Suppose that $X = BC_1$. Suppose that S is a semilattices in $\mathcal{V}^0$ and E is a translated semilattice in $\mathcal{V}^0$ such that $E \cap 2S = \varnothing$ and

$$E + 4S \subseteq E \quad \text{and} \quad S + E \subseteq S.$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} R = R(BC_1, S, E) \quad &:= \quad (S+S) \cup \Big(\bigcup_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}_{\mathrm{sh}}} (\dot{\alpha} + S) \Big) \\ &\cup \Big(\bigcup_{\dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}_{\mathrm{ex}}} (\dot{\alpha} + E) \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.4 Note that for arbitrary subsets A and B of a vector space, the condition $A + B \subseteq A$ is equivalent to $A + \langle B \rangle \subseteq B$ where $\langle B \rangle$ stands for the additive subgroup of the vector space generated by B. This means, for instance that $S + 2S \subseteq S$ in the definition of a semilattice is equivalent to $S + 2\langle S \rangle \subseteq S$. Moreover, the condition $L + 2S \subseteq L$ in part (b) of the previous construction is equivalent to $L + 2\langle S \rangle \subseteq L$, etc.

Theorem 4.5 *Let X be one of the types for a finite root system. Starting from a finite root system $\dot{R}$ of type X and up to three semilattices or translated semilattices (as indicated in the construction), Construction 4.3 produces an extended affine root system of type X. Conversely, any extended affine root system of type X is isomorphic to a root system obtained from the part of Construction 4.3 corresponding to type X.*

We need to do some preparations for the definition of the extended affine Weyl group of an EARS R. Set

$$V := \mathcal{V}^0 \oplus \dot{\mathcal{V}} \oplus (\mathcal{V}^0)^* \tag{16}$$

and define a bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V in the following way:

- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ extends $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^0 \oplus \dot{\mathcal{V}}$,
- $\big\langle \dot{\mathcal{V}}, (\mathcal{V}^0)^* \big\rangle = \{0\}$, $\quad \big\langle (\mathcal{V}^0)^*, (\mathcal{V}^0)^* \big\rangle = \{0\}$,
- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the natural pairing on $\mathcal{V}^0 \times (\mathcal{V}^0)^*$.

In other words we have

$$\big\langle (v_1, v_2, v_3), (u_1, u_2, u_3) \big\rangle = u_3(v_1) + (v_2, u_2) + v_3(u_1)$$

with respect to the decomposition (16). Now we have the vector space V with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and a totally isotropic subspace $\mathcal{V}^0$.

For ever element $\alpha \in R^\times$ we define a reflection via

$$r_\alpha : \ V \to V, r_\alpha(v) = v - 2\frac{\langle v, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}\alpha = v - \langle v, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha^\vee := \frac{2}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}\alpha.$$

Definition 4.6 The *extended affine Weyl group (EAWeG)* $\mathcal{W}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ generated by the reflections $\{r_\alpha : \alpha \in R^\times\}$.

In the following result we study the orbits under an EAWeG $\mathcal{W}$ of certain points in the span of the root system. This result is need in the proof of one of our main theorems.

Proposition 4.7 *Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\dot\alpha \in \dot R$ with $\alpha - \dot\alpha \in \mathcal{V}^0$. We set*

$$T := \big(\dot\alpha, \dot R_{\mathrm{sh}}^\vee\big)\langle S \rangle + \big(\dot\alpha, \dot R_{\mathrm{lg}}^\vee\big)\langle L \rangle + \big(\dot\alpha, \dot R_{\mathrm{ex}}^\vee\big)\langle E \rangle.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{W}.\alpha = \alpha - \dot\alpha + \dot{\mathcal{W}}\dot\alpha + T \tag{17}$$

Proof. To prove that a set is an orbit of a group, two things need to be proved: The set is invariant under the group and the group acts transitively on the set.

For invariance we suppose that $\alpha - \dot\alpha + \dot w.\dot\alpha + \tau$ is an element of the set on the right side of (17), i.e. $\dot w \in \dot{\mathcal{W}}$ and $\tau \in T$. Let $\beta \in R$. Then it can be written as $\beta = \dot\beta + \sigma$ with $\dot\beta \in \dot R$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{V}^0$.

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\dot\beta+\sigma}(\overbrace{\alpha - \dot\alpha}^{\in \mathcal{V}^0} + \dot w.\dot\alpha + \tau) &= \alpha - \dot\alpha + \dot w.\dot\alpha + \tau - (\dot w.\dot\alpha, \dot\beta^\vee)(\dot\beta + \sigma) \\ &= \alpha - \dot\alpha + \underbrace{r_{\dot\beta}\dot w.\dot\alpha}_{\in \dot{\mathcal{W}}.\dot\alpha} + \underbrace{\tau}_{\in T} - \underbrace{(\dot\alpha, \dot w^{-1}.\dot\beta^\vee)\sigma}_{\in T} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since $\mathcal{W}$ is generated by the reflections associated to the roots, the right hand side of (17) is invariant under $\mathcal{W}$.

Now we prove that $\mathcal{W}$ acts transitively on this set. It suffices to show that for any $\tau \in T$, any $\dot\beta \in \dot R^\times$ and any $\sigma \in S_{\dot\beta} = \{\rho \in \mathcal{V}^0 : \dot\beta + \rho \in R\}$ there is a $w \in \mathcal{W}$ such that

$$w.(\alpha + \tau) = \alpha + \tau + (\alpha, \beta^\vee)\sigma.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} r_{\dot\beta} r_{\dot\beta-\sigma}.(\alpha+\tau) &= r_{\dot\beta}\Big(\alpha+\tau-(\dot\alpha,\dot\beta^\vee)(\dot\beta-\sigma)\Big) \\ &= r_{\dot\beta}\Big(r_{\dot\beta}.\alpha+\tau+(\dot\alpha,\dot\beta^\vee)\sigma\Big) \\ &= \alpha+\tau+(\dot\alpha,\dot\beta^\vee)\sigma \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. ■

Definition 4.8 Let $R^\times$ be a subset of $\mathcal{V}$. Then the set

$$\mathrm{IRC}(R^\times) := \big((R^\times - R^\times)\cap \mathcal{V}^0\big)\cup R^\times$$

is called the *isotropic root closure.*

Lemma 4.9 *If R is an EARS and $R^\times$ is the set of its anisotropic roots then* $\mathrm{IRC}(R^\times) = R$.

Proof. The inclusion $\supseteq$ follows from axiom (R6). For the converse inclusion let $\sigma \in \big((R^\times - R^\times)\cap\mathcal{V}\big)$. So there are α and $\beta \in R^\times$ with $\sigma = \beta-\alpha \in \mathcal{V}^0$. This implies $(\alpha,\beta)=(\alpha,\alpha)>0$. So by (R6) the set $\{\beta+n\alpha : n\in\mathbb{Z}\}\cap R$ contains $\sigma=\beta-\alpha$, since $d = 2\frac{(\alpha,\beta)}{(\alpha,\beta)}+u>u\geq 0$. This implies $\sigma\in R$, and we are done. ■

Definition 4.10 An EARS R is called *minimal* if the root set $R^\times$ for the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$ is a minimal.

Example 4.11 Consider the real vector space $V := \mathbb{R}^7 = \mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3$ with the bilinear form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ with matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \mathbf{1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the decomposition $V := \mathbb{R}^7 = \mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3$. So $\mathbf{1}$ stands for the 3×3-identity matrix. In V we consider the set

$$R^\times \quad := \quad \mathbb{Z}^3\times\{-1,1\}\times\{0\}^3.$$

This is the set of anisotropic roots of the EARS $\mathrm{IRC}(R^\times)$ of type A_1 with nullity 3. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the Weyl group of this EARS.

Set

$$\gamma := (1,1,1,1,0,0,0)^T \in R^\times.$$

Recall the definition of $R^\times_\gamma$ from (6). Then

$$\begin{aligned} R^\times_\gamma &= R^\times \setminus \Big(\{-\gamma,\gamma\} + \big((2\mathbb{Z})^3\times\{0\}^4\big)\Big) \\ &= \{(z_i)_{i=1}^7 \in R^\times : z_1z_2z_3 \text{ even}\} \end{aligned}$$

and this is the set of anisotropic roots of an EARS of type A_1 with nullity 3.

We will show that the reflections associated to $R_\gamma^\times$ generate $\mathcal{W}$, which means that R as not a minimal EARS. This can be done by realizing that γ is a so-called ghost root for the EARS $\mathrm{IRC}(R_\gamma^\times)$ (see [Hof04] Example 4.4.83). Or it can be done by a making direct computation. For this purpose let the roots $\alpha_0,\ \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_6 \in R_\gamma$be given by the columns of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the standard basis. Then a direct computation shows that

$$r_\gamma = r_{\alpha_0} r_{\alpha_1} r_{\alpha_2} r_{\alpha_3} r_{\alpha_4} r_{\alpha_5} r_{\alpha_6}.$$

This implies that the reflection associated to $R_\gamma^\times$ generate $\mathcal{W}$.

In the proof of the next theorem we will need to handle EARS of type BC_ℓ with special care. They are best dealt with by trimming them into an EARS of reduced type. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 4.12 Let R be an EARS of non-reduced type, i.e. of type BC_ℓ, $\ell \geq 1$. We set

$$\mathrm{trim}(R) \quad = \quad \mathrm{IRC}(R_{\mathrm{sh}} \cup R_{\mathrm{lg}} \cup \frac{1}{2} R_{\mathrm{ex}})$$

and call $\mathrm{trim}(R)$ the *trimmed root system* of R.

The following result justifies this terminology.

Lemma 4.13 (i) *The set* $\mathrm{trim}(R)$ *is an EARS of type* B_ℓ.

(ii) *The root system* $\mathrm{trim}(R)$ *has the same Weyl group as* R.

(iii) *The root system* $\mathrm{trim}(R)$ *has the same group* $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ *(see (4)) as* R. *In particular* $\mathcal{W}$ *has a presentation by conjugation with respect to* R *if and only if it has a presentation by conjugation with respect to* $\mathrm{trim}(R)$.

(iv) *The root system* $\mathrm{trim}(R)$ *is minimal if and only if* R *is minimal.*

Proof. In order to show that $\mathrm{trim}(R)$ is an EARS it suffices to see that $R_{\mathrm{sh}} \cup R_{\mathrm{lg}} \cup \frac{1}{2} R_{\mathrm{ex}}$ is the set of anisotropic roots of an EARS in view of Lemma 4.9. If we set $S' := S \cup \frac{1}{2} E$, we have

$$R_{\mathrm{sh}} \cup R_{\mathrm{lg}} \cup \frac{1}{2} R_{\mathrm{ex}} = \left(S' + S'\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\dot\alpha \in \dot R_{\mathrm{sh}}} (\dot\alpha + S') \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\dot\alpha \in \dot R_{\mathrm{lg}}} (\dot\alpha + L) \right). \quad (18)$$

A look at Theorem 4.5 reveals that it suffices to show that S' is a semi lattice satisfying

$$L + 2S' \subseteq L \quad \text{and} \quad S' + L \subseteq S'.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
S' + 2S' &= (S \cup \frac{1}{2}E) + 2(S \cup \frac{1}{2}E) \\
&= \underbrace{(S+2S)}_{\subseteq S} \cup \underbrace{(S+E)}_{\subseteq S} \cup \underbrace{(\frac{1}{2}E + 2S)}_{\subseteq \frac{1}{2}E} \cup \underbrace{(\frac{1}{2}E + E)}_{\subseteq \frac{1}{2}E} \\
&\subseteq S \cup \frac{1}{2}E = S', \\
L + 2S' &= L + 2(S \cup \frac{1}{2}E) \\
&= \underbrace{(L+2S)}_{\subseteq L} \cup \underbrace{(L+E)}_{\subseteq L} \\
&\subseteq L \quad \text{and} \\
S' + L &= (S \cup \frac{1}{2}E) + L \\
&= \underbrace{(S+L)}_{\subseteq S} \cup \underbrace{(L + \frac{1}{2}E)}_{\subseteq \frac{1}{2}E} \\
&\subseteq S \cup \frac{1}{2}E = S'
\end{aligned}$$

From (18) it is clear that trim(R) is of the type that you obtain by omitting the extra long roots in a BC_ℓ type finite root system. This is type B_ℓ.

Item (ii) follows from the fact that the two Weyl groups have the same generating set and (iii) and (iv) follow from the one-to-one correspondence of orbits of the Weyl group in R and trim(R). ■

Theorem 4.14 *Let R be an EARS and let $\mathcal{W}$ be its Weyl group. Then $\mathcal{W}$ has a presentation by conjugation with respect to R if and only if R is minimal.*

Proof. Let R be an EARS and let $\mathcal{W}$ be its Weyl group. In view of Lemma 4.13, we may assume that R is of reduced type.

In [Aza99] a subset R_Π of R is introduced which is also an EARS (See Proposition 4.12 of [Aza99]). Furthermore it is proven that the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}_\Pi$ of that root system R_Π has a presentation by conjugation (See Theorem 5.15 of [Aza99]). If we prove that

$$(\forall w \in \mathcal{W})\ (\forall \alpha \in R^\times)\ (\exists w' \in \mathcal{W}_{R_\Pi})\ w.\alpha = w'.\alpha \tag{19}$$

then we are done by Proposition 3.9.

Due to Proposition 4.41 and Equation (4.16) in [Aza99] we only need to consider EARSs R of type $X = A_1$, $X = B_\ell$ $(\ell \geq 2)$ and $X = C_\ell$ $(\ell \geq 3)$. According to [Aza99] (4.11), if we have

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \big(S + S\big) \cup (\dot{R}_{\mathrm{sh}} + S) \cup (\dot{R}_{\mathrm{lg}} + L) \quad \text{then} \\ R_\Pi &= \big(S_\Pi + S_\Pi\big) \cup (\dot{R}_{\mathrm{sh}} + S_\Pi) \cup (\dot{R}_{\mathrm{lg}} + L_\Pi) \quad \text{with} \\ & \quad \langle S_\Pi \rangle = \langle S \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle L_\Pi \rangle = \langle L \rangle \end{aligned}$$

In view of Proposition 4.7 this means that the two Weyl groups $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{W}_\Pi$ have the same orbits in $R^\times$. This entails our requirement in (19). ■

The following characterization of EARSs will be usefull when we need to identify certain subsets of EARSs as EARSs.

Proposition 4.15 *Let $R^\times$ be a set of anisotropic vectors in $\mathcal{V}$ such that*

(i) $\mathcal{W}_{R^\times}.R^\times \subseteq R^\times$,

(ii) $\overline{R^\times}$ *is an irreducible finite root system,*

(iii) $\langle R^\times \rangle$ *is a lattice in $\mathcal{V}$,*

(iv) $\alpha \in R^\times$ *implies* $2\alpha \notin R^\times$.

Then $R := \mathrm{IRC}(R^\times)$ is the EARS having $R^\times$ as its set of anisotropic roots.

Proof. We need to verify the axioms (R1) - (R8). Axiom (R1) follows from the definition of $\mathrm{IRC}(R^\times)$. Due to the fact that $r_\gamma.\gamma = -\gamma$ for every $\gamma \in R^\times$ we have $-R^\times = R^\times$. By the definition of $\mathrm{IRC}(R^\times)$, this implies (R2). Item (iii) implies (R3) and (iv) implies (R4). Since R is contained in $\langle R^\times \rangle$, it is discrete, so (R5) is satisfied. Since $\overline{\dot{R}^\times}$ is irreducible (R7) holds. Axiom (R8) follows from the definition of $\mathrm{IRC}(R^\times)$.

The only axiom remaining to be proved is (R6). So let $\alpha \in R^\times$ and $\beta \in R$. We will look at three different cases.

Case $\overline{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\beta}$ linearly independent: Then let $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha,\beta}$ be the subgroup of $\mathcal{W}$ generated by α and β. This subgroup stabilizes the subspace $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,\beta} = \mathrm{span}(\alpha, \beta)$ of $\mathcal{V}$. Set $R_{\alpha,\beta} = \mathcal{W}_{\alpha,\beta}.\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Since

$$2\frac{(\gamma,\delta)}{(\delta,\delta)} = 2\frac{(\overline{\gamma},\overline{\delta})}{(\overline{\delta},\overline{\delta})} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for all δ, $\gamma \in R_{\alpha,\beta}$. So the set $R_{\alpha,\beta}$ is a finite root system in $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha,\beta}$. In this situation (R6) follows from [Bou68] Ch. VI n^0 1.3 Proposition 9.

Case $\beta \in R^0$: Then

$$2\frac{(\alpha,\beta)}{(\alpha,\alpha)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad r_\alpha.(\beta + n\alpha) = \beta + n\alpha - 2\frac{(n\alpha,\alpha)}{\alpha,\alpha)}\alpha = \beta - n\alpha$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. As a result of this symmetry and due to the fact that

$$\overline{\{\beta + n\alpha : n \in \mathbb{Z} \cap R^\times\}} = \{n\overline{\alpha} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap \overline{R}^\times$$

is a subset of the finite root system $\overline{R}^\times$ there can only be two cases:

$$\{\beta + n\alpha : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap R = \begin{cases} \{\beta - \alpha, \beta, \beta + \alpha\} & \text{or} \\ \{\beta - 2\alpha, \beta - \alpha, \beta, \beta + \alpha, \beta + 2\alpha\}. \end{cases}$$

In both cases (R6) is satisfied.

Case $\beta \in R^\times$ and $\overline{\alpha}$ and $\overline{\beta}$ linearly dependent: Since

$$\{\beta + n\alpha : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap R = \{\beta + n(-\alpha) : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap R \quad \text{and} \quad 2\frac{(-\alpha, \beta)}{(-\alpha, -\alpha)} = -2\frac{(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)},$$

We only need to consider the following cases:

(i) $\overline{\alpha} = \overline{\beta}$,

(ii) $2\overline{\alpha} = \overline{\beta}$ and

(iii) $\overline{\alpha} = 2\overline{\beta}$.

For each of these cases, it suffices to show that there is an $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\beta' := \beta + n\alpha \in R^0$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \{\beta + n\alpha : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap R &= \{\beta' + n\alpha : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap R \\ \{\beta - d\alpha, \ldots, \beta + u\alpha\}, &= \{\beta' - (d+n)\alpha, \ldots, \beta' + (u-n)\alpha\} \quad \text{and} \\ (d+n) - (u-n) = d - u + 2n &= 2\frac{(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} + 2\frac{(\alpha, n\alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} = 2\frac{(\alpha, \beta')}{(\alpha, \alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

So the proof of this case is reduced to case discussed previously.

Case (i): Then $\beta - \alpha \in R^0$ by the definition of IRC($R^\times$).

Case (ii): Then

$$r_\beta(-\alpha) = -\alpha - 2\frac{(-\alpha, \beta)}{(\beta, \beta)}\beta = -\alpha - 2\frac{(-\frac{1}{2}\overline{\beta}, \overline{\beta})}{(\overline{\beta}, \overline{\beta})}\beta = \beta - \alpha \in R.$$

Then by the definition of IRC($R^\times$), we have $\beta - 2\alpha \in R^0$.

Case (iii): We have

$$r_\alpha(\beta) = \beta - 2\frac{(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}\alpha = \beta - \alpha.$$

So

$$\{\beta + n\alpha : n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap R \supseteq \{\beta, \beta - \alpha\}.$$

We see that we must have equality, since any element in the left hand side and not in the right hand side would have at least three times the lenth of α. ■

Lemma 4.16 *Let R be an EARS with EAWeG $\mathcal{W}$. Suppose there is a root $\beta \in R^\times$ such that the reflections associated to*

$$\widetilde{R^\times} := R^\times \setminus \mathcal{W}.\beta$$

generate $\mathcal{W}$. Then there is an EARS $\widetilde{R}$, whose anisotropic roots are $\widetilde{R^\times}$. When passing from R to $\widetilde{R}$, only the following changes in types are possible:

$$BC_1 \to A_1, \quad BC_2 \to B_2, \quad BC_\ell \to B_\ell, \quad BC_\ell \to C_\ell, \quad \textit{where } \ell \geq 3.$$

Proof. We use Proposition 4.15 for $\widetilde{R^\times}$. So we need to verify conditions (i) - (iv) of that proposition. Conditions (i), and (iv) are evident so first we focus on (iii). Discreteness of $\langle \widetilde{R^\times} \rangle$ follows from the fact that $\langle R^t imes \rangle$ is discret. (This csn be seen, for instance from Theorem4.5.) Now we suppose that span$(\widetilde{R^\times})$ is a real subset of U of $\mathcal{V}$. This means that U is invariant under $\mathcal{W}_{\widetilde{R^\times}}$ and thus also under $\mathcal{W}_{R^\times}$. That is a contradiction to axiom (R7) for the EARS R.

Now we verify (ii). The group $\overline{\mathcal{W}_{R^\times}}$ obtained by reducing the elements of the group $\mathcal{W}_{R^\times}$ to automorphisms of Aut$(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}^0)$ is the same as the subgroup $\mathcal{W}_{\overline{R^\times}}$ of Aut$(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}^0)$ generated by the reflections associated to the roots in $\overline{R^\times}$. So by hypothesis we have

$$\mathcal{W}_{\overline{R^\times}} = \mathcal{W}_{\overline{\widetilde{R^\times}}}$$

By Lemma 3.8, the set $\overline{\widetilde{R^\times}}$ is an irreducible finite crystallographic root system. The restrictions about the type of $\widetilde{R}$ follow from this lemma, as well. ■

Theorem 4.17 *Every EARS R contains a minimal one R'. When passing from R to R' only the following changes in type are possible:*

$$BC_1 \to A_1, \quad BC_2 \to B_2, \quad BC_\ell \to B_\ell, \quad BC_\ell \to C_\ell, \quad \textit{where } \ell \geq 3.$$

Proof. Due to Proposition 4.7 the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}$ of R has only a finite number of orbits in R. So Lemma 4.16 can be applied repeatedly until a minimal EARS $R' \subseteq R$ is obtained. The only possible changes in type are those indicated in Lemma 4.16. ■

It is tempting to think that an EARS contained in a minimal EARS is minimal. But this is not necessarily the case, as the following example reveals:

Example 4.18 Let R be a minimal EARS of type A_1 and nullity 3. (Such an EARS exists by Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.17. If R is given by

$$R = (S + S) \cup (\dot{R}_{\text{sh}} + S)$$

then the set

$$R = (S' + S') \cup (\dot{R}_{\text{sh}} + S') \quad \text{with} \quad S' = 2\langle S \rangle$$

is an EARS contained in R by Theorem 4.5. Since this EARS is isomorphic to the EARS R discussed in Example 4.11, it is not minimal.

The statements of Theorem 4.14 and Theorem 4.17 taken together imply:

Theorem 4.19 *Every EAWeG $\mathcal{W}$ has the presentation by conjugation with respect to some EARS.*

References

[AAB+97] Bruce N. Allison, Saeid Azam, Stephen Berman, Yun Gao, and Arturo Pianzola. Extended affine Lie algebras and their root systems. *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, 126(603), March1997.

[AS06] Saeid Azam and Valiollah Shahsanaei. Presentation by conjugation for A_1-type extended affine Weyl groups. Preprint QA/0607149v1 on www.arxiv.org, July 2006.

[Aza99] Saeid Azam. Extended affine Weyl groups. *J. Algebra*, 214(2):571–624, 1999.

[Aza00] Saeid Azam. A presentation for reduced extended affine Weyl groups. *Comm. Algebra*, 28(1):465–488, 2000.

[Bou68] N. Bourbaki. *Groupes et algèbres de Lie, Chapitres 4, 5 et 6.* Hermann, Paris, 1968.

[Deo82] Vinay V. Deodhar. On the root system of a Coxeter group. *Comm. Algebra*, 10(6):611–630, 1982.

[Deo89] Vinay V. Deodhar. A note on subgroups generated by reflections in Coxeter groups. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 53(6):543–546, 1989.

[Dye90] Matthew Dyer. Reflection subgroups of Coxeter systems. *J. Algebra*, 135(1):57–73, 1990.

[Hof99] Georg W. Hofmann. Invariante konvexe Mengen für lineare Coxeter-Gruppen. Master's thesis, TU Darmstadt, 1999.

[Hof04] Georg Hofmann. *The Geometry of Reflection Groups.* Shaker Verlag, Darmstadt, 2004.

[Hum92] James E. Humphreys. *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups.* Cambridge University Press, 1992.

[Kry00] Ya. Krylyuk. On automorphisms and isomorphisms of quasi-simple Lie algebras. *J. Math. Sci. (New York)*, 100(1):1944–2002, 2000.

[LN04] Ottmar Loos and Erhard Neher. Locally finite root systems. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 171(811):x+214, 2004.

[MP95] Robert V. Moody and Arturo Pianzola. *Lie Algebras With Triangular Decompositions.* John Wiley and Sons, 1. edition, 1995.

[Vin71] E. B. Vinberg. Discrete linear groups generated by reflections. *Math. USSR-Izv. 5*, pages 1083–1119, 1971.